

MONDAY, MARCH 10, 1865

A GAY DAY OR OUR WALTER.—The Chicago Republican, edited by Charles A. Dana, for a time Assistant Secretary of War under President Lincoln, has become most vehement in its denunciation of President Johnson and his conservative restoration policy. About six weeks ago, however, the Republican was quite friendly to the President, and praised him in terms of great admiration. The secret of the radical change in the tone of the paper has just come to light, and we take pleasure in passing it to our readers. About the middle of January, Mr. Dana was anxious applicant for the Collectorship of New York, that position having been vacated by the sudden death of Preston King. On the 12th Inst., the Republican contained the following paragraph:

"To add to the woes of the present managers of the Republic, the Collectorship of Collectorships is very smart, but it won't last."

Several days ago the attorney of President Johnson was called to the above paragraph, (which was written in the spirit of "heads down," whenever the furor for publication of the collectorship of New York, that position having been vacated by the sudden death of Preston King. On the 12th Inst., the Republican contained the following paragraph:

"To add to the woes of the present managers of the Republic, the Collectorship of Collectorships is very smart, but it won't last."

The Journal desires us to explain what we mean by "Collectorships" in Kentucky. We are not directly to you. We are, however, a resident of New York, and a developed now, is not what the radical restorationists have done in the few months since I came here on leaving the office. It is the radical restorationists of my connection with the War Department have been absent, so as to be free from all ideological political actions or personal controversy by whom the Radical restorationists have been much divided. I believe that there is no person of any prominence in the party who supports the Radical restorationists more than mine. Should you be disposed to go to Kentucky to ascertain the idea as to what the Radical restorationists do not—what they are not doing, you will find them in the office of Mr. Johnson. Let me add that I am not the one who is to blame for the division, should that be intrusted to me, to the directorate of the public service and to your entire satisfaction."

I am, with profound respect,

Your faithful servant,

[Signed.] CHAS. A. DANA.

Thus the secret cause of Mr. Dana's recent bitter denunciation of the President has been glaringly exposed. He is simply a disappointed aspirant for the New York Collectorship, a position for which, as is plainly seen, his lack of integrity would have wholly unfitted him.

THE PENNSYLVANIA DEMOCRACY AND FRIENDS OF THE UNION.—We find the following in the Louisville Democrat of Saturday last:

"The Journal is very busy telling us that the Democracy North endorse the President's restoration policy. Here is the way the Pennsylvania Democracy induce it:

"What are the principles of the principles contained in the Radical and Freedmen's Bureau? very much of President's policy and the policy of restorationists of Congress?"

"They approve his restoration policy in these two messages, and there they stop. What are they not doing, although you are making the most desperate efforts in that direction just now. Where do you rather think you will find the 'no' of jumping off place?"

A typical error in our paper yesterday located J. R. Brown, Esq., as a candidate to represent the Tenth Ward in the Board of Aldermen. Mr. Brown is now, and has been for many years, a able representative of the Whig Club in the Board of Aldermen, having served most acceptably for two years as President of that Board, and having consented to serve another term, we infer that his claims to the seat will not be contested, as he has proven himself especially attentive and efficient as the representative of the interests of the people of his particular ward and as the guardian of the general welfare of the city. He is ever actuated in his conduct by the highest and most selfless motives, and his record as a city legislator is unblemished.

We repudiate the resolution passed by the Democratic Convention of Indiana, indorsing the Radical restoration policy. It was a body of live Democrats that formed that party or its principles.—*Democrat of yesterday.*

The Journal now insists that the Democratic and Radical restorationists indorse the restoration policy of Radicalism, and that our readers have the resolution before them, that has not read it.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

Each Ball is Motion.—A correspondent writing to us from Graves county says:

"So soon as we got your paper containing the call for the grand mass-meeting at Louisville on the 1st of May, a call was drawn up for a meeting here, and we have had a great majority of the people are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

The Journal tells us that the Democrat and Radical restorationists are with us in their opposition to the restoration policy. It was a body of live Democrats that formed that party or its principles.—*Democrat of yesterday.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

The Journal tells us that the Democrat and Radical restorationists are with us in their opposition to the restoration policy. It was a body of live Democrats that formed that party or its principles.—*Democrat of yesterday.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it doesn't "indorse that policy." You seem to be talking out of two mouths.

The people of this State don't want the Journal to tell them to support him to support the Radicals. The people of this State want to be authorized to say that they are with us.—*Democrat.*

The editor of the Democrat pretends to think that the people of Kentucky are with him in his bitter and virulent opposition to the President's restoration policy, but he must have been induced to him to set up half dozen little establishments.

What an amazingly smart old chap you must be! You say that you "repudiate the resolution indorsing the President's reconstruction policy," and then more than intimates in some column that it

RAILROAD TIME-TABLE.

Louisville, New Albany and Chicago R. R.
Morning Express... 6:30 A. M. 6:40 A. M.
Night Express... 6:45 P. M. 7:00 P. M.
Morristown Express. 11:00 P. M.
Franklin Express. 11:00 P. M.
Night Express. 11:00 P. M.
Louisville and Nashville Railroad.
Morning Express... 6:00 A. M. 6:45 A. M.
Louisville Mail... 7:00 P. M. 7:30 P. M.
Lexington Express. 6:30 A. M. 7:00 P. M.
Frankfort Express. 6:30 P. M. 7:00 P. M.
Louisville and Frankfort Railroad.
Morning Express... 6:00 A. M. 10:30 A. M.
Evening Express... 6:30 P. M. 7:00 P. M.
Accommodation... 6:30 P. M. 7:00 P. M.
Except Sundays.

PEOPLES MASS CONVENTION.

The response to numerous requests from men of all parties, in various portions of the State, we announce that a grand mass meeting of the friends of President Johnson's restoration policy will assemble in this city on WEDNESDAY, THE SEVENTH OF NEXT MAY. All the counties of the State are earnestly requested to send delegations to the meeting, irrespective of any party issues or party name, that the people of Kentucky may clearly express their determination to sustain the President against the radical elements of the country.

CELEBRATION OF ST. PATRICK'S DAY.

PARADE, SPEAKING, AND BANQUET.

ENTHUSIASM OF THE IRISH PEOPLE.

The celebration of St. Patrick's day on Saturday was grand, imposing, and honorable to the Irishmen who participated therein. It was the most brilliant assembly of Ireland's greatest anniversary, an assembly of the uprising of the Fenians on both sides of the Atlantic, to assert the right of the Irish people to self-government. The occasion was welcomed as combining the past and the present. The historic renewal of Ireland, her many ecclesiastical contests with her merciless hereditary foes, perfidious Albion, and the struggle now being inaugurated for the freedom, the independence of the land of our fathers, and O'Connell, were associated in the hearts of every Irishman on Saturday. The day was commemorated in that spirit—a sense of the righteous opposition which had for ages bound the Irish race in subjection to the rule of monarchs, and the righteousness of the cause in which the sons of that oppressed country were about to engage.

THE PROCESSION.

At the appointed hour, one o'clock P. M., the vast procession was formed in front of the hall of Emma Circle, on Market street, between Fourth and Fifth, in the following order:

Grand Marshal P. Bannon, Colonel of Kentucky; C. O'NELL, Adj't. Mathew J. Donahue, United Sons of Erin.

Grand Marshal Martin Sheehy, Assistant Grand Master of Knights of Columbus; Frank Connelly, Captain of Knights of Columbus; and New Albany Knights.

Fenian Knights, Captain of Knights of Columbus; and New Albany Knights.

Fenian Military Companies without names.

John J. O'Farrell, Captain of Knights of Columbus.

Assistant William O'Connor.

Apostol. T. J. McMonigle.

Emma Circle, Captain of Knights of Columbus.

François J. L. D'Amato, Captain of Knights of Columbus.

Francesco J. L. D'Amato, Captain of Knights of Columbus.

James J. O'Farrell, Captain of Knights of Columbus.

